## United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington Magistrate Judge Mary K. Dimke Yakima

USA v. BRYCE QUINTON HALE Case No. 1:21-CR-2052-SAB-1

Video Conference
The Defendant agreed to appear via video conference.

## **Arraignment/Initial Appearance on Indictment:**

11/15/2021

|             | Pam Howard, Courtroom Deputy [Y]<br>Linda Hansen, Courtroom Deputy [S]<br>Erica Helms, US Probation / Pretrial<br>Services Officer (tele) | ⊠<br>⊠<br>⊠ | Thomas Hanlon, US Atty (video) Jeremy Sporn, Defense Atty (video) Interpreter <b>NOT REQUIRED</b> |
|-------------|---|-------------|---|
|             | Defendant present ⊠ in custody USM appeared by video from Yakima County Jail □out of custody  |             | Defendant not present / failed to appear  |
| $\boxtimes$ | USA Motion for Detention  | $\boxtimes$ | Rights given  |
|             | USA not seeking detention   | $\boxtimes$ | Acknowledgment of Rights filed  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Financial Affidavit (CJA 23) filed  | $\boxtimes$ | Defense to ensure Defendant receives copy of charging document, if desired                        |
| $\times$    | The Court will appoint the Federal Defenders  | $\times$    | Defendant waived reading of charging document   |
|             | Based upon conflict with Federal Defenders, the<br>Court will appoint a CJA Panel Attorney  |             | Charging document read in open court  |
|             | PRE-Trial Services Report ordered   |             | POST Pre-Trial Services Report ordered  |
|             | AO Advice of Penalties/Sanctions filed  |             |   |

## REMARKS

Due to current COVID-19 public health crisis, all parties including Defendant, appeared by video or teleconference.

Defendant was assisted by counsel and advised of their rights and the allegations contained in the charging document.

The Defendant acknowledged to the Court that their true and correct name is: BRYCE QUINTON HALE.

"Not guilty" plea entered.

Discovery to be provided pursuant to the local rule on discovery.

## The Court ordered:

- 1. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.
- 2. As required by Rule 5(f), the United States is ordered to produce all information required by *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny. Not doing so in a timely manner may result in sanctions, including exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, and contempt proceedings. Order forthcoming.

Detention Hearing:

Waived by Defendant;

USA's Motion for Detention is granted.

Subject to right to return before the Court should circumstances change.

Time: 3:02 p.m. – 3:09 p.m.